

The probability that x and y commute in a compact group

Karl H. Hofmann and Francesco G. Russo

ABSTRACT. We show that a compact group G has finite conjugacy classes, i.e., is an FC-group if and only if its center $Z(G)$ is open if and only if its commutator subgroup G' is finite. Let $d(G)$ denote the Haar measure of the set of all pairs (x, y) in $G \times G$ for which $[x, y] = 1$; this, formally, is the probability that two randomly picked elements commute. We prove that $d(G)$ is always rational and that it is positive if and only if G is an extension of an FC-group by a finite group. This entails that G is abelian by finite. The proofs involve measure theory, transformation groups, Lie theory of arbitrary compact groups, and representation theory of compact groups. Finally, for an arbitrary pair of positive natural numbers m and n we investigate the effect on the group structure of the positivity of the probability that the powers x^m and y^n commute for two randomly picked elements $x, y \in G$. This involves additional additional complications which are studied in the case of compact Lie groups. Examples and references to the history of the discussion are given at the end of the paper.

MSC 2010: Primary 20C05, 20P05; Secondary 43A05.

KEY WORDS: Probability of commuting pairs, commutativity degree, FC-groups, compact groups, Haar measure.

1. INTRODUCING THE PROBLEM

We fix a compact group G and natural numbers $m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and we let ν denote Haar measure of G .

Question. *What is the probability that a randomly picked pair $(x, y) \in G \times G$ of elements of G has the property that x and y commute?*

Technically speaking: Derive useful information from possible knowledge on the real number

$$(\nu \times \nu)(\{(x, y) \in G \times G : [x, y] = 1\}).$$

We shall develop a theory which will show that in a compact group G the probability of the commuting of two randomly picked elements x and y is positive only in very special groups, namely, those that have a certain characteristic open abelian normal subgroup. Our theory will allow us to compute this probability explicitly and to establish that it is always a rational number.

However, we make efforts to present the theory in a frame work that is general enough to cover, to a certain extent, the following, more general question: For fixed natural numbers m and n , assume that the probability of the commuting of the powers x^m and y^n for randomly selected elements x and y from a compact group G is positive. What are the consequences for the structure of G ?

The question applies, in particular, to Lie groups as well as to profinite groups. In both of these cases, the issue is somewhat precarious. It is therefore clear that for an answer to this more general question, some conditions depending on m and n will be needed.

So let us say that a compact group G is *n-straight* for a natural number n whenever for a closed nowhere dense subgroup H the set $\{g \in G : g^n \in H\}$ has Haar measure 0. Every compact group is 1-straight, and every finite group is n -straight for every n , but the profinite group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is not 2-straight since the squaring map is constant, nor is the Lie group $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \{1, -1\}$ (the “continuous dihedral group”) 2-straight, since all elements of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \{-1\}$ have order 2.

The FC-center of a group is the set of elements whose conjugacy class is finite; a group is an FC-group if it agrees with its FC-center. We shall prove the following Theorems:

Theorem 1.1. (The structure of compact FC-groups) *A compact group G is an FC-group if and only if its center is open, that is, G is central by finite, if and only if its commutator subgroup is finite, that is, G is finite by abelian.*

Theorem 1.2. (The structure of compact groups with frequent commuting of elements) *Let G denote a compact group with Haar measure ν and FC-center F . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $(\nu \times \nu)(\{(x, y) \in G \times G : [x, y] = 1\}) > 0$.
- (2) F is open in G .
- (3) The center $Z(F)$ of F is open in G .

Moreover, if m and n are arbitrary fixed natural numbers and G is an m - and n -straight Lie group, then these conditions are equivalent to each of the following:

- (4) $(\nu \times \nu)(\{(x, y) \in G \times G : [x^m, y^n] = 1\}) > 0$.
- (5) G_0 is abelian.

Our strategy in order to include (4) and (5) will be as follows: We write $p_n: G \rightarrow G$ by $p_n(x) = x^n$.

The measures $\mu_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_m(\nu)$ and $\mu_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_n(\nu)$ are defined by $\mu_1(B) = p_m(\nu)(B) = \nu(p_m^{-1}(B))$ for each Borel subset B of G , and analogously for μ_2 . Now

$$D = \{(x, y) : [p_m(x), p_n(y)] = 1\} = (p_m \times p_n)^{-1}\{(u, v) : [u, v] = 1\},$$

and thus, setting $E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(u, v) \in G \times G : [u, v] = 1\}$, in the context of the theorem, we have

$$0 < (\nu \times \nu)(D) = (p_m \times p_n)(\nu \times \nu)(E) = (p_m(\nu) \times p_n(\nu))(E) = (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(E).$$

We propose a proof based, in the end, on this formula, but we shall have to draw from different branches of group theory such as the theory of compact groups and transformation groups, Lie groups, and FC-groups.

2. ACTIONS AND PRODUCT MEASURES

It is conceptually simpler to start by considering a more general situation. Let $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous action α of a compact group G on a compact space X . All spaces in sight are assumed to be Hausdorff. We specify a Borel probability measure P on $G \times X$ and discuss the probability that a group element $g \in G$ fixes a phase space element $x \in X$ for a pair (g, x) , randomly picked from $G \times X$, that is, that $g \cdot x = x$. We define

$$E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(g, x) \in G \times X : g \cdot x = x\},$$

that is, E is the equalizer of the two functions $\alpha, \text{pr}_X : G \times X \rightarrow X$ and is therefore a closed subset of $G \times X$.

Let $G_x = \{g \in G : g \cdot x = x\}$ be the isotropy (or stability) group at x and let $X_g = \{x \in X : g \cdot x = x\}$ be the set of points fixed under the action of g . We note that $G_{g \cdot x} = gG_xg^{-1}$. The function $g \mapsto g \cdot x : G \rightarrow G \cdot x$ induces a continuous equivariant bijection $G/G_x \rightarrow G \cdot x$ which, due to the compactness of G , is a homeomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= \{(g, x) : g \in G_x, x \in X\} = \bigcup_{x \in X} G_x \times \{x\} \\
&= \{(g, x) : g \in G, x \in X_g\} = \bigcup_{g \in G} \{g\} \times X_g \subseteq G \times X.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that μ and ν are Borel probability measures on G and X , respectively, and that $P = \mu \times \nu$ is the product measure. For information on measure theory the reader may refer to [1]. Let $\chi_E: G \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the characteristic function of E . We define the function $m: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $m(x) = \mu(G_x)$. Then by the Theorem of Fubini we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(*) \quad P(E) &= \int_{G \times X} \chi_E(g, x) dP = \int_X \left(\int_G \chi_E(g, x) d\mu(g) \right) d\nu(x) \\
&= \int_X \mu(G_x) d\nu(x) = \int m d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise

$$\begin{aligned}
(**) \quad P(E) &= \int_{G \times X} \chi_E(g, x) dP = \int_G \left(\int_X \chi_E(g, x) d\nu(x) \right) d\mu(g) \\
&= \int_G \nu(X_g) d\mu(g).
\end{aligned}$$

We now see from $(*)$ that $P(E) > 0$ implies, firstly, that there is at least one $x \in X$ such that $\mu(G_x) = m(x) > 0$ holds, and that, secondly, the set of all x for which $m(x) > 0$ has positive ν -measure. Likewise, there is at least one $g \in G$ such that $\nu(X_g) > 0$ and that the set of all of these g has positive μ -measure. At this point we introduce a terminology which we shall retain and use

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a set of subgroups of a compact group G , such as the set of all closed subgroups or all subgroups whose underlying set is a Borel subset of G . We shall say that a Borel probability measure σ on G *respects $\mathcal{C}$ -subgroups* if every subgroup $H \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\sigma(H) > 0$ is open.

Recall that an open subgroup H of a topological group G , being the complement of all the cosets gH for $g \notin H$, is closed and that it contains the *identity component* G_0 of G . If G is compact, then H has finite index in G .

We claim that

Haar measure μ on a compact group G respects Borel subgroups. Indeed, assume that H is a Borel subgroup of G with $\mu(H) > 0$. Then by [9], p. 296, Corollary 20.17, $H = HH$ contains a nonvoid open set and thus is open.

If, in the circumstances discussed here, μ respects closed subgroups, and $\mu(G_x) > 0$ then the subgroup G_x is open in G hence contains G_0 . If G is a compact Lie group, then the condition $G_0 \subseteq G_x$ is also sufficient for the openness of the subgroup G_x of G , since the identity component of a Lie group is open. If G_x is open, then $G \cdot x \cong G/G_x$ is discrete and compact, hence finite.

Let now

$$(\dagger) \quad F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X : |G \cdot x| < \infty\} = \{x \in X : G_x \text{ is open}\}.$$

These remarks require that henceforth whenever the measure μ occurs we shall assume that μ respects closed subgroups.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a compact group acting on a compact space X .*

- (i) *If G is a Lie group, then for each $x \in X$ there is an open invariant neighborhood U_x of x such that all isotropy groups of elements in U are conjugate to a subgroup of the isotropy subgroup G_x .*
- (ii) *Under these circumstances, m takes its maximum on U_x in x . That is, $m^{-1}(]-\infty, m(x)])$ is a neighborhood of x . In particular, m is upper semi-continuous.*
- (iii) *If G is a Lie group, then the subspace F of X is compact.*
- (iv) *If G is an arbitrary compact group, then the subspace F of X is an F_σ , that is, a countable union of closed subsets and thus is a Borel subset.*

Proof. Assertion (i) is a consequence of the Tube Existence Theorem (see e.g. [2], p. 86, Theorem 5.4, or [18], p. 40, Theorem 5.7).

(ii) Immediate from (i) and from $m(u) = \mu(G_u)$.

(iii) We recall that $y \in F$ if and only if $m(y) > 0$. Hence F is the complement of $m^{-1}(0)$. By conclusion (ii), however, $m^{-1}(0)$ is open. Thus F is closed and therefore compact.

(iv) For a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $F(n) = \{x \in X : |G \cdot x| \leq n\}$. We claim that $F(n)$ is closed in X for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(n)$, this claim will prove assertion (iv).

We prove the claim by contradiction and suppose that there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\overline{F(n)}$ contains an $x' \notin F(n)$. Then there exist elements $g_1, \dots, g_{n+1} \in G$ such that $|\{g_1 \cdot x', \dots, g_{n+1} \cdot x'\}| = n + 1$. Now we find a compact normal subgroup N

of G such that G/N is a Lie group and that $Ng_j \cdot x' \cap Ng_k \cdot x' = \emptyset$ for all $j \neq k$ in $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$.

The Lie group G/N acts on $X/N = \{N \cdot x : x \in X\}$ via $(gN) \bullet (N \cdot x) = N \cdot (g \cdot x)$. By what we just saw $|(G/N) \bullet (N \cdot x_0)| \geq n+1$. On the other hand, $F_N(n) = \{N \cdot x \in X/N : |(G/N) \bullet (N \cdot x)| \leq n\}$ is closed by (ii) above. Since the orbit map $\pi_N : X \rightarrow X/N$ is continuous and $\pi(F(n)) \subseteq F_N(n)$ we have $N \cdot x_0 = \pi(x_0) \subseteq \overline{F_N(n)} = F_N(n)$. Thus, by the definition of $F_N(n)$ we have $|(G/N) \bullet (N \cdot x_0)| \leq n$. This contradiction proves the claim. $\square$

Recall that we assume that μ respects closed subgroups. Now that we know that $F \subseteq X$ is a Borel set, hence is ν -measurable, we can state that, regardless of any particular property of ν , the function m satisfies

$$P(E) = \int_X m d\nu = \int_X \chi_H \cdot m d\nu = \int_{x \in F} m(x) d\nu(x).$$

Here $x \in F$ implies $0 < m(x) = \mu(G_x) = 1/|G/G_x| \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.3. *If μ respects closed subgroups and $P(E) > 0$, then $0 < P(E) \leq \nu(F)$. In particular, $F \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We have seen that $P(E) = \int_F m d\nu$ since F is Borel measurable. The Lemma then follows from this fact and $m(x) \leq 1$ for $x \in F$. $\square$

We shall say that the group G acts *automorphically* on X if X is a compact group and $x \mapsto g \cdot x : X \rightarrow X$ is an automorphism for all $g \in G$.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that G and X are compact groups and assume the following hypotheses:*

- (a) G acts automorphically on X .
- (b) μ respects closed subgroups.
- (c) ν respects Borel subgroups or else X is a Lie group and ν respects closed subgroups.
- (d) $P(E) > 0$.

Then F is an open, hence closed subgroup of X .

Proof. Let $x, y \in F$. Then $G \cdot x$ and $G \cdot y$ are finite sets by the definition of F . Now $G(xy^{-1}) = \{g \cdot (xy^{-1}) : g \in G\} = \{(g \cdot x)(g \cdot y)^{-1} : g \in G\} \subseteq \{(g \cdot x)(h \cdot y)^{-1} : g, h \in G\} = (G \cdot x)(G \cdot y)^{-1}$, and the last set is finite as a product of two finite sets. Thus $xy^{-1} \in F$ and F is a subgroup. By Lemma 2.3, $\nu(F) > 0$. Then by Lemma

2.2(iii),(iv) and the kind of subgroups respected by ν , we conclude that F is an open subgroup. $\square$

If G acts automorphically on a compact group X , we let $\pi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } X$ be the representation given by $\pi(g)(x) = g \cdot x$. Let id_X denote the identity function of X . Then the fixed point set X_g is the equalizer of the morphisms $\pi(g)$ and id_G and is therefore a closed subgroup of X . Let $I \subseteq G$ denote the set of all $g \in G$ for which X_g has inner points.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that G and X are compact groups and assume the following hypotheses:*

- (a) μ and ν are the Haar measures on G and X , respectively.
- (b) G acts automorphically on X .
- (c) G is finite.

Then $P(E) = \frac{1}{|G|} \cdot \sum_{g \in I} |X/X_g|^{-1}$. In particular, $P(E)$ is a rational number.

Proof. By (**) above and the fact that Haar measure on a finite group G is counting measure with $\mu(\{g\}) = |G|^{-1}$, we have $P(E) = \frac{1}{|G|} \cdot \sum_{g \in G} \nu(X_g)$. If a closed subgroup Y of the compact group X has no inner points, its Haar measure $\nu(Y)$ is zero. If it has inner points, it is open and its measure $\nu(Y)$ is the reciprocal of its index, that is $\nu(Y) = |X/Y|^{-1}$. Hence $P(E) = \frac{1}{|G|} \cdot \sum_{g \in I} |X/X_g|^{-1}$ and the assertion follows. $\square$

Our conclusions sum up to the following result:

Proposition 2.6. *Let G and X be compact groups and assume that G acts automorphically on X . Let μ and ν be normalized positive Borel measures on G and X , respectively. Define*

$$E = \{(g, x) \in G \times X : g \cdot x = x\} \subseteq G \times X,$$

whence $(\mu \times \nu)(E) = \int_{x \in F} \mu(G_x) d\nu(x)$. Assume that μ respects closed subgroups and that ν either respects Borel subgroups of X or else X is a Lie group and ν respects closed subgroups, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $(\mu \times \nu)(E) > 0$.
- (2) *The subgroup $F \leq X$ of all elements with finite G -orbits is open and thus has finite index in X .* $\square$

3. ACTION BY INNER AUTOMORPHISM

The main application of this general situation will be the case of a compact group G and the automorphic action of G on $X = G$ via inner automorphisms:

$$(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x = gxg^{-1} : G \times X \rightarrow X.$$

The orbit $G \cdot x$ of x is the conjugacy class $C(x)$ of x , and the isotropy group G_x of the action at x is the centralizer $Z(x, G) = \{g \in G : gx = xg\}$ of x in G . The set E is the set $D = \{(x, y) \in G \times G : [x, y] = 1\}$, and F is the union of all finite conjugacy classes. In particular, F is a characteristic F_σ subgroup of G whose elements have finite conjugacy classes, that is, the FC-center of G . Recall that a group agreeing with its FC-center is called an FC-group.

In this setting, Proposition 2.6 has the following consequence:

Corollary 3.1. *Let G be a compact group and let μ and ν be Borel probability measures on G and assume that μ respects closed subgroups and that ν respects Borel subgroups or, if G is a Lie group, that ν respects closed subgroups.*

Let F be the FC-center of G . Then F is an F_σ and we define

$$D = \{(g, x) \in G \times G : [g, x] = 1\} \subseteq G \times G.$$

Then

$$P(D) = \int_{x \in F} \mu(Z(x, G)) d\nu(x),$$

and the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $(\mu \times \nu)(D) > 0$.
- (2) F is open in G and thus has finite index in G .

□

Moreover, under these conditions, $Z(F, G)$ contains the identity component G_0 , and the profinite group $G/Z(F, G)$ is acting effectively on F with orbits being exactly the finite conjugacy classes of G . We shall see later in 3.10, that the center $Z(F)$ is an open subgroup, whence $Z(F, G)$, containing $Z(F)$, is an open subgroup of G . Therefore $G/Z(F, G)$ will in fact turn out to be finite.

In a nontechnical spirit, let us call a subgroup U of G *large* if it is open, hence closed and with finite index in G . In 3.1 (2) we state that F is a large characteristic subgroup which is itself an FC-group. Therefore, for finding large abelian subgroups of G under the equivalent hypotheses of 3.1, it is sufficient to concentrate on compact FC-groups which we shall do now; and while we are classifying compact FC-groups, measures will not play any role.

In a compact FC-group G , the identity component G_0 is abelian by the structure theory of compact connected groups ([10], 9.23ff). Abbreviate the centralizer $Z(G_0, G)$ of G_0 by C . The action by inner automorphisms of G on G_0 induces an effective automorphic action of the profinite group G/C on the compact connected abelian group G_0 with finite orbits. Let the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{L}(G_0)$ be defined as $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}, G_0) \cong \text{Hom}(\widehat{G_0}, \mathbb{R})$ (see [10], Proposition 7.36, Theorem 7.66). The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a weakly complete vector space, which is isomorphic as a topological vector space to the complete locally convex space $\mathbb{R}^I$ for a suitable set I whose cardinality is the rank of the torsionfree abelian group $\widehat{G_0}$. There is a natural morphism $\text{Aut } G_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$: Indeed each automorphism α of G_0 induces an automorphism $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as follows: Let $X: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G_0$, $t \mapsto X(t)$, be a member of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha)(X): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G_0$ is defined by $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha)(X) = \alpha \circ X$. An element $g \in G$ induces an inner automorphism I_g on G_0 via $I_g(x) = gxg^{-1}$ and the function $g \mapsto I_g: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ factors through the quotient $G \rightarrow G/C$ giving us a chain of representations

$$G \rightarrow G/C \rightarrow \text{Aut } G_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g},$$

whose composition yields a continuous representation

$$\pi: G/C \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}, \quad \pi(gC)(X) = I_g \circ X, \text{ that is, } \pi(gC)(X)(t) = gX(t)g^{-1}.$$

We claim that the fact, that every element of G has finitely many conjugates, implies for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ that $\pi(G/C)(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is contained in a finite dimensional vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$. That is, we maintain that the G/C -module $\mathfrak{g}$ (see [10], Definition 2.2) satisfies $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}} = \mathfrak{g}$. (See [10], Definition 3.1.)

In order to prove this claim in the next lemma we recall that a subgroup of a topological group is called *monothetic* if it contains a dense cyclic subgroup, and *solenoidal* if it contains a dense one-parameter subgroup.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Γ be a compact group acting automorphically on a compact group G . Assume that all orbits of Γ on G are finite. Then the following conclusions hold:*

- (i) *For each monothetic subgroup $M = \overline{\langle g \rangle}$ of G there is an open normal subgroup Ω of Γ which fixes the elements of M elementwise and the finite group Γ/Ω acts on M with the same orbits as Γ .*
- (ii) *The orbits of Γ on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{L}(G)$ for the induced automorphic action of Γ on $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ are finite.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $A = \overline{\langle g \rangle}$ is a monothetic subgroup. If $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $\alpha \in \Gamma_g$ means $\alpha \cdot g = g$, that is, g belongs to the fixed point subgroup $\text{Fix}(\alpha)$ of α . This is equivalent to $A \subseteq \text{Fix}(\alpha)$, i.e., $\alpha \in \Gamma_a$ for all $a \in A$. So we have $\Gamma_g \subseteq \Gamma_a$ for all

$a \in A$. Then the normal finite index subgroup $\Omega_g = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \Gamma_g \gamma^{-1}$ is contained in all Γ_a , $a \in A$. This establishes (i) as the remainder is clear.

(ii) A compact abelian group A is monothetic if and only if there is a morphism $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$ with dense image exactly when (in view of Pontryagin duality) there is an injective morphism $\hat{f}: \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{T}$. In the same spirit a compact abelian group is solenoidal if and only if there is a morphism $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A$ with dense image exactly when (in view of Pontryagin duality) there is an injective morphism $\hat{f}: \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}$. As $\hat{A}$ is discrete, this happens if and only if $\hat{A}$ is algebraically a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. Now $\mathbb{T}$ has a subgroup algebraically isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ (see [10], Corollary A1.43). Thus if $\hat{A}$ can be homomorphically injected into $\mathbb{R}$ it can be homomorphically injected into $\mathbb{T}$. Therefore

every solenoidal compact group is monothetic.

Thus let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a one-parameter subgroup of G . Then by (i), the image $X(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in a monothetic subgroup. Hence by (i) above there is an open normal subgroup Ω of Γ such that each $\alpha \in \Omega$ satisfies $\alpha \cdot X(t) = X(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\alpha \cdot X = X$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the action of Γ induced on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{L}(G)$. Hence $\Gamma_X \supseteq \Omega$ has finite index for the action of Γ on $\mathfrak{g}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2(ii) completes the argument that the G -module $\mathfrak{g}$ in the case of a compact FC-group G satisfies $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}} = \mathfrak{g}$.

In the following we refer to the representation theory of compact groups as presented in [10], Chapters 3 and 4.

Lemma 3.3. *Let V be a locally convex weakly complete vector space isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^I$ and an effective Γ -module for a profinite group Γ . In particular, the associated representation $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut } V$ is injective. Assume that $V_{\text{fin}} = V$. Then*

- (i) *V is a finite direct sum (and product) $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$ of isotypic components.*
- (ii) *Γ is finite.*

Proof. (i) By [10], Theorem 4.22, V_{fin} is a direct sum of its isotypic components V_ϵ , where $\epsilon \in \hat{\Gamma}$ is an equivalence class of irreducible representations of Γ . Each V_ϵ is a module retract of V under a canonical projection P_ϵ and is therefore complete, and thus as a closed vector subspace of a weakly complete vector space is weakly complete. The universal property of the product $W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{\epsilon \in \hat{\Gamma}} V_\epsilon$ gives us an equivariant Γ -module morphism $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ of weakly complete Γ -modules such that $\text{pr}_\epsilon \circ \phi = P_\epsilon$. Since morphisms of weakly complete vector spaces have a closed image (see [11], Theorem A2.12(b)) and

$$\sum_{\epsilon \in \hat{\Gamma}} V_\epsilon = \{(v_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in \hat{\Gamma}} \in W : v_\epsilon = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } \epsilon \in \hat{\Gamma}\} \subseteq W$$

is in the image of ϕ and is dense in W we know that ϕ is surjective. Now $V = V_{\text{fin}}$ and $\phi(V_{\text{fin}}) \subseteq W_{\text{fin}}$ whence $W_{\text{fin}} = W$. It is readily verified that

$$W_\epsilon = \{(v_\eta)_{\eta \in \widehat{\Gamma}} \in W : v_\eta = 0 \text{ for } \eta \neq \epsilon\}.$$

Therefore $W_{\text{fin}} = \sum_{\epsilon \in \widehat{\Gamma}} V_\epsilon$, and thus

$$\sum_{\epsilon \in \widehat{\Gamma}} V_\epsilon = W = \prod_{\epsilon \in \widehat{\Gamma}} V_\epsilon.$$

This equation, however, implies that the set $S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\epsilon \in \widehat{\Gamma} : V_\epsilon \neq \{0\}\}$ is finite. List the members of $\{V_\epsilon : \epsilon \in S\}$ as $V_1, \dots, V_k$. Then assertion (i) follows.

(ii) For a simple Γ -module F denote by $[F] \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ its equivalence class. Let F_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$ be simple Γ -modules such that $S = \{[F_j] : j = 1, \dots, k\}$, $V_j = V_{[F_j]}$. Then let $\pi_j : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(F_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ denote the associated representations defined by $\pi_j(g)(v) = g \cdot v$. By [10], Theorem 4.22, $V_j \cong \text{Hom}_\Gamma(F_j, V) \otimes F_j$, where $g \in \Gamma$, $f \in \text{Hom}(F_j, V)$, and $v \in V$ imply $g \cdot (f \otimes v) = f \otimes g \cdot v$. Since V is an effective (or faithful) Γ -module, (i) implies $\bigcap_{j=1}^k \ker \pi_j = \{1\}$. However, a simple Γ -module is finite-dimensional (see [10], Theorem 3.51), and so $\text{GL}(F_j)$ is a Lie group. Hence $\Gamma / \ker \pi_j \cong \text{im } \pi_j$ is a compact profinite Lie group and is therefore finite. Hence $\ker \pi_j$ is open in Γ . Thus $\{1\}$, being a finite intersection of open subgroups, is open in Γ . Therefore, Γ is discrete and compact, hence finite. $\square$

Thus we get the following result:

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a compact FC-group. Then the centralizer $Z(G_0, G)$ of the identity component is open.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 we can apply Lemma 3.3 with $\Gamma = G/Z(G_0, G)$ and the Γ -module $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{L}(G) = \mathfrak{L}(G_0)$. We conclude that Γ is finite. This proves the assertion. $\square$

Let us explain the structure of a central extension of a compact abelian group by a profinite group:

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a compact group such that G_0 is central. Then there is a profinite normal subgroup Δ such that $G = G_0 \Delta$. In particular,*

$$G \cong \frac{G_0 \times \Delta}{D}, \quad D = \{(g, g^{-1}) : g \in G_0 \cap \Delta\}.$$

Proof. See [10], Theorem 9.41 and Corollary 9.42. $\square$

In order to pursue the structure of compact FC-groups further we cite Lemma 2.6, p. 1281 from the paper by SHALEV [17], proved with the aid of the Baire Category Theorem:

Lemma 3.6. *If G is a profinite FC-group then its commutator subgroup G' is finite.* $\square$

In order to exploit this information, we need a further lemma:

Lemma 3.7. *If N is a compact nilpotent group of class ≤ 2 and N' is discrete, then the center $Z(N)$ has finite index in N .*

Proof. Since N is nilpotent of class at most 2, we have $N' \subseteq Z(N)$. Hence so for each $y \in N$ the function $x \mapsto [x, y] : N \rightarrow N'$ is a morphism. Therefore, if we set $A = N/N'$ we have a continuous $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear map of abelian groups $b : A \times A \rightarrow N'$, where $b(xN', yN') = [x, y]$. Since N' is discrete, $\{1\}$ is a neighborhood of the identity in N' . On the other hand, $b(\{1_A\} \times A) = \{1\}$. So for each $a \in A$ we have open neighborhoods U_a of 1_A and V_a of a , respectively, such that $b(U_a \times V_a) = \{1\}$. As A is compact, we find a finite set $F \subseteq A$ of elements such that $A = \bigcup_{a \in F} V_a$. Let $U = \bigcap_{a \in F} U_a$; then U is an identity neighborhood of A and $b(U \times A) = \{1\}$. Since b is bilinear, this implies $b(\langle U \rangle \times A) = \{1\}$. Then the full inverse image M of $\langle U \rangle$ in N under the quotient morphism $N \rightarrow A$ is an open subgroup of N satisfying $[M, N] = \{1\}$ and is, therefore, central. Thus the center $Z(N)$ of N is open and so has finite index in N . $\square$

We remark that the proof of this lemma resembles that of Proposition 13.11, p. 574 of [11]. From the preceding two pieces of information we derive

Proposition 3.8. *Let G be a compact group whose commutator subgroup G' is finite, and let $Z(G', G)$ be the centralizer of G' in G . Then the center of $Z(G', G)$ is a characteristic abelian open subgroup $A_G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z(Z(G', G))$ of G .*

Proof. Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G')$ denote the morphism defined by $f(g)(x) = gxg^{-1}$ for $x \in G'$. Since G' is finite by Lemma 3.6, so is $\text{Aut } G'$. Hence $f(G)$ is finite as well and so $G/\ker f \cong f(G)$ is finite. It follows that the centralizer $C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z(G', G)$ of G' in G , being equal to $\ker f$, has finite index in G and thus is open. The center $Z(G') = G' \cap C$ of G' is finite abelian, and so the isomorphism $C/Z(G') \rightarrow G'C/G' \subseteq G/G'$ shows that the commutator subgroup $C' \subseteq Z(G')$ is a finite subgroup of C . Since $G' \subseteq Z(Z(G', G), G) = Z(C, G)$, the subgroup $C' \subseteq G' \cap C$ is central in C . Hence C is nilpotent of class at most two with a finite commutator subgroup. Now Lemma 3.7 shows that $Z(C)$ is open in C , and since

C is open in G we know that $Z(C)$, a characteristic subgroup of G , is open in G . $\square$

By Lemma 3.6, this applies to all profinite FC-groups. Collecting our information on compact FC-groups, we can establish the following main structure theorem on the structure of compact FC-groups:

Theorem 3.9. (The Structure of Compact FC-groups) *Let G be a compact group. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) G is an FC-group.
- (2) $G/Z(G)$ is finite, that is, G is center by finite.
- (3) The commutator subgroup G' of G is finite.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): By Lemma 3.4, $Z(G_0, G)$ is open and so by Proposition 3.5 there is a profinite FC-group Δ commuting elementwise with G_0 such that $Z(G_0, G) = G_0\Delta$. By Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.8, A_Δ is an open characteristic abelian subgroup of Δ whence $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_0A_\Delta$ is an open characteristic abelian subgroup of $G_0\Delta = Z(G_0, G)$. Since $Z(G_0, G)$ is normal in G , the subgroup A is normal in G . Since A is open in $Z(G_0, G)$ and this latter group is open in G by Lemma 3.4, A is an open normal subgroup of G . Thus G is an abelian by finite FC-group. We claim that G is therefore a center by finite group: Indeed, let $f: G/A \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, A)$ be defined by $f(gA)(a) = gag^{-1}a^{-1}$. For each coset $\gamma = gA$ the image of $f(\gamma)$ is finite since G is an FC-group, and so $Z(g, G) = \ker f(\gamma)$ has finite index. Therefore $Z(G) = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G/A} \ker f(\gamma)$ has finite index, and this proves the claim.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Since $Z(G) \subseteq Z(g, G)$ for each g , by (2), the quotient $G/Z(g, G)$ is finite for all g . Hence G is an FC-group.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let G be an FC-group. By the equivalence of (1) and (2) we know that G_0 , being contained in the open subgroup $Z(G)$, is central. By Proposition 3.5, there is a profinite subgroup Δ such that $G = G_0\Delta$. Then $[G, G] = [\Delta, \Delta]$. Now by Lemma 3.6, $[\Delta, \Delta]$ is finite.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Set $C(g) = \{xgx^{-1} : x \in G\}$; then $C(g)g^{-1} \subseteq G'$, whence $C(g) \in G'g$. Thus the finiteness of G' implies that of $C(g)$ for all $g \in G$. $\square$

In fact, a group G satisfying the equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.9 above is what has been called a *BFC-group*, that is, an FC-group with all conjugacy classes of elements having bounded length.

Let us remark that center by finite groups are subject to classical central extension theory. For instance, if $Z(G)$ happens to be divisible by $q = |G/Z(G)|$, then

$G = Z(G)E$ for a finite subgroup E such that $Z(G) \cap E$ is contained in $\{z \in Z(G) : z^q = 1\}$. (Cf. method of proof of Theorem 6.10(i) of [10].)

At this point we return to the issue of probability of commuting elements and thus to Borel probability measures on G . Recalling Definition 2.1 we now summarize our discussion as follows:

Theorem 3.10. *Let G be a compact group and F its FC-center. Further let μ_1 and μ_2 two Borel probability measures on G and set $P = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ and $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(g, h) \in G \times G : [g, h] = 1\}$. Assume that μ_j respect closed subgroups for $j = 1, 2$ and that, if G is not a Lie group, μ_2 respects even Borel subgroups. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $P(D) > 0$.
- (2) F is open in G .
- (3) The characteristic abelian subgroup $Z(F)$ is open in G .

Under these conditions, the centralizer $Z(F, G)$ of F in G is open, and the finite group $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G/Z(F, G)$ is finite and acts effectively on F with the same orbits as G under the well defined action $\gamma \cdot x = gxg^{-1}$ for $(\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times F$, $g \in \gamma$. The isotropy group Γ_x at $x \in F$ is $Z(x, G)/Z(F, G)$, and the set F_γ of fixed points under the action of γ is $Z(g, F)$ for any $g \in \gamma$.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2): This is a part of Corollary 3.1.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): The FC-center F of G is an FC-group in its own right. Then Theorem 3.9 shows that $Z(F)$ is open in F . By (2), F is open in G . Then $Z(F)$ is open, and this establishes (3).

The implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is trivial.

Now assume that these conditions are satisfied. Then the open subgroup $Z(F)$ is contained in the centralizer $Z(F, G) = \bigcap_{x \in F} Z(x, G)$, which is the kernel of the morphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } F$ sending $g \in G$ to $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$. The homomorphism $\pi: \Gamma = G/Z(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Aut } F$ is therefore well-defined by $\pi(\gamma)(x) = gxg^{-1}$, $\gamma = gZ(F, G)$, independently of the choice of the representative $g \in \gamma$. If $x \in F$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, say $\gamma = gZ(F, G)$, then $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$ iff $\gamma \cdot x = x$ iff $gxg^{-1} = x$ iff $g \in Z(x, G)$ regardless of the choice of $g \in \gamma$. Thus $\Gamma_\gamma = Z(x, G)/Z(F, G)$. Similarly, we have $x \in F_\gamma$ iff $\gamma \cdot x = x$ iff $gxg^{-1} = x$ for $g \in \gamma$ iff $x \in Z(g, F)$. $\square$

Later we shall specialize the measures μ_1 and μ_2 in a reasonable way and then search for useful measures μ_1 and μ_2 respecting appropriate classes of subgroups.

Meanwhile, we shall specialize μ_1 and μ_2 both to Haar measure on G and derive the main theorem on commuting elements in a compact group.

This case represents the special class of examples in which $P(E)$ is the probability that two randomly picked elements commute. In this situation one has called $P(\{(x, y) \in G \times G : [x, y] = 1\})$ the *commutativity degree* $d(G)$ of G . Here, by the Main Theorem 3.10, the finite group $\Gamma = G/Z(F, G)$ acts effectively on F so that its orbits are the G -conjugacy classes of elements of F and that for the isotropy groups and fixed point sets we have

$$\Gamma_x = Z(x, G)/Z(F, G) \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall g \in \gamma) F_\gamma = Z(g, F).$$

In particular, if ν_F is Haar measure of F , for the closed subgroup $Z(g, F)$ of F and $g \in \gamma \in \Gamma$ we conclude

$$\nu_F(F_\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } F_\gamma \text{ has no inner points in } F, \\ |F/Z(g, F)|^{-1} & \text{if } F_\gamma \text{ is open in } F. \end{cases}$$

We now formulate and prove the following fundamental result:

Main Theorem 3.11. *Let G be any compact group and denote by $d(G)$ its commutativity degree. Then we have the following conclusions:*

PART(i) *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $d(G) > 0$.
- (2) *The center $Z(F)$ of the FC-center F of G is open in G .*

PART(ii) *Assume that these conditions of (i) are satisfied. Then there is a finite set of elements $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$, $n \leq |G/Z(F, G)|$, such that*

$$d(G) = \frac{1}{|G/F| \cdot |G/Z(F, G)|} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n |F/Z(g_j, F)|^{-1}.$$

PART(iii) *$d(G)$ is always a rational number.*

Proof. (i) follows directly from 3.10. For a proof of (ii) we let ν_G and ν_F be the Haar measures of G and F , respectively, and recall from 3.1 that

$$d(G) = P(D) = \int_{x \in F} \nu_G(Z(x, G)) d\nu_G(x) = \int_{x \in F} |G/Z(x, G)|^{-1} d\nu_G(x).$$

We note that the ν_G -measure of F is $|G/F|^{-1}$; thus $\nu_F = |G/F| \cdot (\nu_G|_F)$. Hence

$$d(G) = \frac{1}{|G/F|} \cdot \int_F |G/Z(x, G)|^{-1} d\nu_F(x).$$

Now

$$G/Z(x, G) \cong (G/Z(F, G))/(Z(x, G)/Z(F, G)) = \Gamma/\Gamma_x,$$

and so, letting

$$E_F = \{(\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times F : \gamma \cdot x = x\}$$

and $P = \nu_\Gamma \times \nu_F$, by (*) above, we get

$$d(G) = \frac{1}{|G/F|} \cdot \int_F |\Gamma/\Gamma_x|^{-1} d\nu_F = \frac{1}{|G/F|} \cdot \int_F \nu_\Gamma(\Gamma_x) d\nu_F = \frac{P(E_F)}{|G/F|}.$$

Next we select a set $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ of elements of G such that the cosets $g_j Z(F, G)$ are exactly those elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ whose fixed point set F_γ is open in F . Then $\Gamma_{g_j Z(F, G)} = Z(g_j, F)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. We also recall $\Gamma = G/Z(F, G)$ and apply Lemma 2.5 to see that

$$P(E_F) = \frac{1}{|G/Z(F, G)|} \sum_{j=1}^n |F/Z(g_j, F)|^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$d(G) = \frac{1}{|G/F| \cdot |G/Z(F, G)|} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n |F/Z(g_j, F)|^{-1}.$$

This is what we claimed.

Part (iii) is now an immediate consequence of (ii) as $d(G)$ is trivially rational if it is 0. $\square$

The main result says: *If the probability that two randomly picked elements commute in a compact group is positive, then, no matter how small it is, the group is almost abelian.*

We draw attention to the fact that in 3.11(ii) the commutativity degree of G is expressed in purely arithmetic terms via the group theoretical data F , $Z(F, G)$, and $Z(g_j, F)$. In the much simpler case of finite groups this aspect was discussed in [14].

4. COMPACT LIE GROUPS AND MEASURES RESPECTING CLOSED SUBGROUPS

Recall that for a continuous function $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ between compact spaces and a Borel measure λ on Y , the image measure $f(\lambda)$ on Z is given by $f(\lambda)(B) = \lambda(f^{-1}(B))$ for any Borel subset $B \subseteq Z$.

On a smooth manifold Y we denote by $T_p(Y)$ the tangent space at the point $p \in Y$. We say that a smooth function $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ between two real smooth manifolds Y and Z of the same dimension is *regular* at a point p if its derivative $D_p f: T_p(Y) \rightarrow T_{f(p)}(Z)$ is invertible, that is, if $\det(D_p f) \neq 0$. The complement of the set of points at which f is regular is called the *singular set* of f .

The singular set is the zero set of the smooth function $\Delta: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta(p) = \det(D_p f)$ and thus is closed. If f is a real analytic function, then Δ is a real valued real analytic function. Accordingly, it vanishes on a neighborhood of a point p if and only if it vanishes on the entire connected component of p in Y . Thus the singular set of a real analytic function f is closed and nowhere dense except for possibly containing entire components of Y .

Lemma 4.1. *Let H be a closed subgroup of a compact infinite Lie group G and let $f: G \rightarrow G$ be a real analytic function whose singular set does not contain a connected component of G . Assume that $f(\nu)(H) > 0$ for the normalized Haar measure ν of G . Then H is open.*

In particular, the measure $\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(\nu)$ respects closed subgroups.

Proof. We know that $0 < \mu(H) = \nu(f^{-1}(H)) = \nu(\{g \in G : f(g) \in H\})$. We claim that this implies that $\nu(H) > 0$ which in turn will imply that H is open, as asserted.

Suppose that H fails to be open. Then H is a closed proper real analytic submanifold of the real analytic manifold G . Since the singular set of f is nowhere dense, it follows that $f^{-1}(H)$ is a closed nowhere dense real analytic subset of G . Haar measure on G is a real analytic $\dim(G)$ -form on G . Therefore $f(\nu)(H) = \nu(f^{-1}(H)) = 0$. This contradiction proves the claim. $\square$

As a next step we specialize f_1 and f_2 to power functions. We recall that for a natural number $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ we define the power function $p_n: G \rightarrow G$ by $p_n(g) = g^n$ and f_j to be p_{n_j} . Therefore we need a precise understanding of the singularities of p_n on a Lie group. Clearly p_n is a real analytic self-map of the not necessarily connected compact real manifold G .

Firstly, let us say that a real analytic function $f: G \rightarrow G$ is *totally singular* at $g \in G$ if f takes a constant value on a neighborhood of g and therefore on the entire connected component gG_0 of g in G . This happens for $f = p_2$ on $G = \mathbb{T} \rtimes \{1, -1\}$ (see Example 6.4 below): Here p_2 is totally singular at each point of $\mathbb{T} \times \{-1\}$.

Let $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ be the exponential function of G and U an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathfrak{g}$ so small that $\exp|_U : U \rightarrow \exp U$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open neighborhood of 1 in G . The function p_n is singular, or totally singular, at g if

and only if the function $x \mapsto ((\exp x)g)^n : U \rightarrow G$ is singular, respectively, totally singular at 0. For the following we recall that each element $g \in G$ yields a canonical Lie algebra automorphism $\text{Ad } g : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $g(\exp x)g^{-1} = \exp(\text{Ad } g)x$.

Proposition 4.2. (The singularities of the power function) *Let G be a compact Lie group and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ a natural number. Then the following statements are equivalent for an element $g \in G$:*

- (i) p_n is singular at $g \in G$.
- (ii) At least one eigenvalue of $\text{Ad } g$ is an n -th root of unity different from 1.

Moreover, the following conditions are also equivalent:

- (a) p_n is totally singular in $g \in G$.
- (b) $g^n = 1$ and every eigenvalue of $\text{Ad } g$ is an n -th root of unity different from 1.

Proof. From $g(\exp y)g^{-1} = \exp(\text{Ad } g)y$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ it follows by induction that

$$(\dagger) \quad ((\exp x)g)^n = (\exp x)(\exp(\text{Ad } g)x)(\exp(\text{Ad } g)^2x) \cdots (\exp(\text{Ad } g)^{n-1}x)g^n.$$

For fixed g and n we may assume that U is so small that the Campbell-Hausdorff multiplication $*$ is defined where needed for $x \in U$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exp x)(\exp(\text{Ad } g)x)(\exp(\text{Ad } g)^2x) \cdots (\exp(\text{Ad } g)^{n-1}x) \\ &= \exp(x * (\text{Ad } g)x * (\text{Ad } g)^2x * \cdots * (\text{Ad } g)^{n-1}x). \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$x * \text{Ad}(g)x * (\text{Ad } g)^2x * \cdots * (\text{Ad } g)^{n-1}x = (\mathbf{1} + \text{Ad } g + (\text{Ad } g)^2 + \cdots + (\text{Ad } g)^{n-1})x + r(x)$$

where $r(x) \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a function $r : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \|x\|^{-1} \cdot r(x) = 0$ for one, hence all norms on $\mathfrak{g}$. Such a function we shall call a *remainder function*. Thus for $x \in U$ we have

$$p_n((\exp x)g) = (\exp(\mathbf{1} + \text{Ad } g + (\text{Ad } g)^2 + \cdots + (\text{Ad } g)^{n-1})x + r(x))g^n.$$

Since $\exp$ is regular on U , and since right translation by g^n is a diffeomorphism on G , the function $x \mapsto ((\exp x)g)^n : U \rightarrow G$ is regular at 0 if and only if

$$\alpha_g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (\text{Ad } g)^m : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is an isomorphism. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $\text{Ad } g$, then $\lambda \neq 0$ since $\text{Ad } g$ is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. Using the semisimplicity of $\text{Ad } g$, which due to the fact that G is compact, we see that

$$\rho \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \lambda^m = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ \frac{\lambda^n - 1}{\lambda - 1} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

is an eigenvalue of α_g , and all eigenvalues ρ of α_g are so obtained. Thus p_n is singular in g if and only if one of the eigenvalues ρ vanishes, and that is the case if and only if $\lambda^n = 1$, $\lambda \neq 1$. This completes the proof of the equivalence of (i) and (ii).

Next we observe that (a) happens if and only if $(\exp x)g^n = 1$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, including, of course, $x = 0$. By $(\dagger)$ this is equivalent to $g^n = 1$ and

$$(\exp x) \exp((\text{Ad } g)x) \exp((\text{Ad } g)^2 x) \cdots \exp((\text{Ad } g)^{n-1} x) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In particular, due to analyticity, this is equivalent to the fact that for all sufficiently small x for which the required Campbell-Hausdorff products exist we have

$$(u) \quad x * \text{Ad}(g)x * (\text{Ad } g)^2 x * \cdots * (\text{Ad } g)^{n-1} x = 0.$$

By the Campbell-Hausdorff formalism, there is a zero-neighborhood of $\mathfrak{g}$ and a remainder function r such that, for

$$\alpha_g = \mathbf{1} + \text{Ad } g + (\text{Ad } g)^2 + \cdots + (\text{Ad } g)^{n-1},$$

we have

$$(\forall x \in U) x * \text{Ad}(g)x * (\text{Ad } g)^2 x * \cdots * (\text{Ad } g)^{n-1} x = \alpha_g(x) + r(x).$$

Thus by (u) above, condition (a) is equivalent to

(a') $g^n = 1$ and there is a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 in $\mathfrak{g}$ and a remainder function r such that $(\forall x \in U) \alpha_g(x) + r(x) = 0$.

Let $0 \neq y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Setting $x = t \cdot y$ with $t > 0$ we have $\|x\| = t \cdot \|y\|$ and $x \in U$ if t is sufficiently small. Then (a') implies $0 = \alpha(t \cdot x) + r(t \cdot y)$ and thus $0 = \alpha_g(y) + \frac{1}{t} \cdot r(t \cdot y) \rightarrow \alpha_g(y)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$ by the definition of a remainder function. Hence $\alpha_g = 0$. In view of the semisimplicity of $\text{Ad } g$, no eigenvalue of $\text{Ad } g$ can then be 1, while $g^n = 1$ implies $(\text{Ad } g)^n = \mathbf{1}$. Thus all eigenvalues of $\text{Ad } g$ are n -th roots of unity different from 1. This establishes (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b).

Conversely, assume (b) and let λ be an eigenvalue of $\text{Ad } g$. Then λ is an n -th root of unity and $\lambda \neq 1$. Then

$$1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1} = \frac{\lambda^n - 1}{\lambda - 1} = 0.$$

Since $\text{Ad } g$ is semisimple (and thus diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$) we conclude that $\alpha_g = 0$. Then (a') holds with $r \equiv 0$. Hence (a) and (b) are equivalent. $\square$

Assume that the equivalent conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied and assume, without essential loss of generality, that n is the order of the element g , then $\langle G \cup \{g\} \rangle = G_0 \langle g \rangle$ is an open subgroup of G which is isomorphic to the semidirect product $G_g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_0 \rtimes_{\iota} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ where the morphism $\iota: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut } G_0$ is defined by $\iota(m + n\mathbb{Z})(h) = g^m h g^{-m}$. Recall that the multiplication on G_g is given by

$$(h, m + n\mathbb{Z})(h', m' + n\mathbb{Z}) = (hg^m h' g^{-m}, m + m' + n\mathbb{Z}).$$

The power function p_n is strongly singular in G_g in each point of $G_0 \times \{1 + n\mathbb{Z}\}$. In this sense these semidirect products (such as the continuous dihedral group $\mathbb{T} \rtimes \{1, -1\}$) are typical for the presence of totally singular points.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be an infinite compact Lie group with normalized Haar measure ν , and let $n \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $p_n(\nu)$ respects closed subgroups of G .
- (ii) p_n is nowhere totally singular.

Proof. Proposition 4.2 shows that p_n is a real analytic self-map of G whose set of singular points is contained in the union of the sets

$$S_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{g \in G : \det(\text{Ad } g - e^{2\pi i m/n} \cdot \text{id}) = 0\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

On each of the finitely many connected components $G_0 c$, $c \in G$, the set $S_m \cap G_0 c$ is a real algebraic variety and thus is a closed nowhere dense analytic subset or else contains all of $G_0 c$. Hence (ii) is equivalent to

- (ii') p_n is a real analytic function whose singular set does not contain a connected component of G

Then by Lemma 4.1, (ii') $\Rightarrow$ (i).

Conversely, assume (i) and suppose that (ii) is false. Then we have a component $G_0 c$ such that $p_n(G_0 c) = \{1\}$ and so $p_n(\nu)(\{1\}) = \nu(p_n^{-1}\{1\}) \geq \nu(G_0 c) = \nu(G_0) = |G/G_0| > 0$. Since $p_n(\nu)$ respects closed subgroups by (i), we conclude that

$\{1\}$ is open. Hence the compact group G is discrete and thus finite. This is a contradiction to the hypothesis that G is infinite. Hence (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and the proof is complete. $\square$

For the simplicity of notation, let us adopt the following notation

Definition 4.4. Let m be a natural number. A compact Lie group G is called to be m -straight if no element $g \in G$ satisfies $g^m = 1$ and every eigenvalue of $\text{Ad } g$ is an m -th root of unity different from 1.

By Proposition 4.2 this is the same as saying that the power function p_m is totally singular at no $g \in G$.

With this notation we have

Theorem 4.5. Let n_1 and n_2 be natural numbers and G a compact Lie group. Assume that G is n_j -straight for $j = 1, 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent

- (1) The probability that for a randomly picked pair (x, y) of G the powers x^{n_1} and y^{n_2} commute is positive.
- (2) G_0 is abelian.

Proof. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 we know that the measures $\mu_1 = p_{n_1}(\nu)$ and $\mu_2 = p_{n_2}(\nu)$ respect closed subgroups of the Lie group G . Then (i) says that

$$(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(\{(x, z) \in G \times G : [x, y] = 1\}) > 0.$$

Now by Theorem 3.10, this is equivalent to

(2') $Z(F)$ is open.

then G_0 , being contained in the abelian group $Z(H)$ is commutative. Now assume (2). Since G/G_0 is finite for a compact Lie group and G_0 is abelian each conjugacy class of G_0 is finite, that is, $G_0 \subseteq F$, where F is the FC-center of G . Hence F is open and so by 3.10, this implies (1). $\square$

For a compact group G we write $\mathcal{N}$ for the set of all closed normal subgroups N of G for which G/N is a Lie group. Then $G \cong \lim_{N \in \mathcal{N}} G/N$. (See [10], Lemma 9.1, p. 448.)

We shall now keep n_1 and n_2 fixed throughout the remainder of the section and show that Condition 4.5(1) implies the commutativity of the identity component G_o of an arbitrary compact group G provided all Lie group quotients are n_j -straight for $j = 1, 2$.

First a general remark. We have $G \cong \lim_{N \in \mathcal{N}} G/N$. For $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and for a fixed $n = 1, 2, \dots$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} D(G) &= \{(x, y) \in G \times G : [x^{n_1}, y^{n_2}] = 1\}, \\ D_N(G) &= \{(x, y) \in G \times G : [x^{n_1}, y^{n_2}] \in N\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $N \subseteq M$ implies

$$(\#) \quad D_N(G) \subseteq D_M(G); \quad \text{also} \quad D(G) = \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{N}} D_P(G).$$

Now we define the generalized commutativity degree (depending on n_1 and n_2):

$$d(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nu_G \times \nu_G)(D(G)).$$

Note that with the quotient morphism $\pi_N: G \rightarrow G/N$ we have

$$D(G/N) = \{(xN, yN) \in G/N \times G/N : [x^{n_1}, y^{n_2}] \in N\} = (\pi_N \times \pi_N)(D_N(G)).$$

For a quotient map $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \Omega$ of compact groups and a Borel set $B \subseteq \Omega$ we have $\nu_\Gamma(\rho^{-1}(B)) = \nu_\Omega(B)$. Hence

$$d(G/N) = (\nu_G \times \nu_G)(D_N(G)) \geq (\nu_G \times \nu_G)(D(G)) = d(G)$$

In particular, $\{d(G/N) : N \in \mathcal{N}\}$ is a decreasing family of numbers in $[0, 1]$ with $d(G)$ as a lower bound. So by the Monotone Convergence Theorem of nets of real numbers, we have

$$\inf\{d(G/N) : N \in \mathcal{N}\} = \lim_{N \in \mathcal{N}} d(G/N).$$

Proposition 4.6. $d(G) = \lim_{N \in \mathcal{N}} d(G/N)$.

Proof. In view of observation (#), this is a consequence of the outer regularity of Haar measure. $\square$

Theorem 4.7. *Let n_1 and n_2 be natural numbers and G a compact group such that all Lie groups G/N , $N \in \mathcal{N}$ are n_1 - and n_2 -straight. Assume that the probability that $g_1^{n_1}$ and $g_2^{n_2}$ commute for two randomly picked elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$ is positive. Then G_0 is abelian.*

Proof. Let $N \in \mathcal{N}$. We know $0 < d(G) \leq d(G/N)$. Thus, from Theorem 4.4 for Lie groups we know that $(G/N)_0$ is abelian. On the other hand, $(G/N)_0 = G_0N/N$, and so $[G_0, G_0] \subseteq N$. Since the intersection of all normal subgroups N such that G/N is a Lie group is singleton, we obtain $[G_0, G_0] = \{1\}$. $\square$

It may be useful to recall that $G = G_0D$ for a profinite group D such that $G_0 \cap D$ is normal in G . This follows from DONG HOON LEE's Supplement Theorem for Compact Groups (see [10], Theorem 9.41). However, the issue of commuting powers in the case of a profinite group G is not discussed in this paper.

5. EXAMPLES

Finally, we record some examples for the simplest case that

$$D(G) = \{(x, y) \in G \times G : [x, y] = 1\},$$

where $P = \nu \times \nu$ with normalized Haar measure ν on G . Recall that in this case $d(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(D(G))$ is the commutativity degree. All of the examples in our list are metabelian, and the first two are in fact nilpotent. The Main Theorem 3.11 explains why this is not too far from the most general situation.

The first example is trivial and arises from finite groups:

Example 5.1. Let H be the 8-element quaternion group and $P = \nu \times \nu$. Then $d(H) = 5/8$. Let A be any compact connected group, e.g., the circle group $\mathbb{T}$. Let $G = A \times H$. The random selection of pairs of commuting elements in A and the random selection of pairs of commuting elements in H are independent events, and thus

$$d(G) = P(D(A)) \times P(D(H)) = d(A) \cdot d(H) = 5/8.$$

In view of Theorem 3.9, this example is a compact FC-group.

Example 5.2. Let H be the class 2 nilpotent compact group of all 3×3 -matrices

$$M(a, b; z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & z \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where a, b, z range through the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of p -adic integers. Let Z be the closed central subgroup of H of all $M(0, 0; pz)$, $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $G = H/Z$ is a compact nilpotent p -group of class 2 whose commutator group $[H, H]/Z$ contains all elements $M(0, 0; z)Z$ and thus is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}(p) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Its center $Z(G)$ consists of all elements $M(a, b; z)Z$ with $a, b \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, whence $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}(p)^2$. The

factor group $G/[G, G]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$. The subgroup of all $M(a, 0; 0)$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$; it is topologically generated by $M(1, 0; 0)$. By Theorem 3.9 again, this example yields a compact FC-group. which is not the product of a central group with a finite group. $\square$

ERFANIAN and the second author have shown the following proposition, whose proof draws on ideas of LESCOT [14] on finite groups.

Proposition 5.3. ([7], Theorem A) *Assume G is an nonabelian compact FC-group such that $G/Z(G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ for a prime p . Then $d(G) = (p^2 + p - 1)/p^3$. The group G in Example 5.2 therefore has the commutativity degree $(p^2 + p - 1)/p^3$. All examples of compact groups G in the existing literature having commutativity degree $d(G) = (p^2 + p - 1)/p^3$ arise from direct products of finite groups such as e.g. Example 5.1 above. In this sense $G = M(a, b; z)$ exhibits a first “nontrivial” explicit compact example illustrating Proposition 5.3. Similar formulae as in 5.3 can be found in [5, 7, 8].*

The following examples are nonnilpotent metabelian groups.

Example 5.4. Let A be a compact abelian group and set $A_a = \{a \in A : 2 \cdot a = 0\}$. Define $G = A \rtimes \{1, -1\}$ with multiplication

$$(a, \epsilon)(a', \epsilon') = (a + \epsilon \cdot a', \epsilon \epsilon').$$

Then $Z((a, 1), G) = A \times \{1\}$ for $2a \neq 0$, and $Z((0, -1), G) = A_2 \times \{-1, 1\}$. The orbits are $G \cdot (t, 1) = \{(\pm a, 1)\}$, $G \cdot (0, -1) = 2 \cdot A \times \{-1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(G) &= (A \times \{1\})^2 \cup (A \times \{-1\}) \times (A_2 \times \{1\}) \\ &\quad \cup (A_2 \times \{1\}) \times (A \times \{-1\}) \cup (A_2 \times \{-1\})^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so, setting $t = \nu_A(A_2)$, we get

$$d(G) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{4}t^2 = \left(\frac{1+t}{2}\right)^2.$$

If A_2 is without inner points in A we have $t = 0$ and so $d(G) = \frac{1}{4}$. For $A = \mathbb{T}$ we get the “continuous dihedral group” which shows, among other things, that under the circumstances of Theorem 3.10, we may have $Z(F) = F \neq G$ and that G may not be center by finite. This example also provides a power function p_2 on a Lie group which is constant equal to 1 and hence is totally singular on the component $\mathbb{T} \times \{-1\}$.

In support of the next Example we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 5.5. *Let G_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ be a sequence of finite groups with the commutativity degrees $d(G_n) = d_n$. Then the product $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ has the commutativity degree $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n$.*

Proof. Define

$$E_n = D(G_1) \times \cdots \times D(G_n) \times G_{n+1}^2 \times \cdots \subseteq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (G_n \times G_n) \cong G \times G.$$

Let $P = \nu \times \nu$ for Haar measure on G . Then $P(E_n) = d_1 \cdots d_n$. If $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \times G_n$ is identified with $G \times G$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = D(G)$. Since ν is σ -additive, so is P and therefore $P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_1 \cdots d_n$. The assertion follows. $\square$

This example, among other things, illustrates Proposition 4.6.

Example 5.6. Let $G = (\mathbb{T} \rtimes \{1, -1\})^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then $d(G) = 0$ and G_0 is abelian and not open.

Indeed $d(\mathbb{T} \rtimes \{1, -1\}) = 1/4$ by Example 5.4. Then by Lemma 5.5 we have $d(G) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/4^n = 0$.

In the context of Lemma 2.1(iv), the following Example is relevant:

Example 5.7. Let $\mathbb{I}$ denote the unit interval $[0, 1]$ under ordinary multiplication, a compact connected topological monoid. Set $\mathbb{I}_0 = [0, 1 - 1/p]$, $\mathbb{I}_1 =]1 - 1/p, 1 - 1/p^2]$, $\dots$, $\mathbb{I}_n =]1 - 1/p^n, 1 - 1/p^{n+1}]$, $\dots$, $\mathbb{I}_{\infty} = \{1\}$. We form the compact topological product monoid $S = \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ for the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of p -adic integers. For $r \in \mathbb{I}$ set

$$J_r = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p, & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{I}_0, \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{I}_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{I}_{\infty}. \end{cases}$$

The binary relation R , whose cosets are $R(t, z) = \{t\} \times (z + J_t)$ is a closed congruence relation. Therefore, $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S/R$ is a compact connected abelian monoid with zero $R(0, 0)$ whose group of units is $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\{1\} \times \mathbb{Z}_p)/R \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. For $t < 1$ in $\mathbb{I}$ and $x = R(t, z)$ we have $G \cdot x = (\{t\} \times (z + \mathbb{Z}_p/I_p))/R \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$ for $t \in \mathbb{I}_n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$

In particular, considering X as a G -space under multiplication, the space of finite orbits F is $([0, 1] \times G)/R$ is not closed in X . Thus Lemma 2.2(iv), saying that F is an F_{σ} cannot be improved to read that F is closed.

For $p = 2$, the space X is the standard binary tree with G as the Cantor set of leaves. Compact monoids like X above were considered in [13] rather generally under the name *cylindrical semigroups*; for our construction see in particular D-2.3.3ff on p. 241.

6. ON THE HISTORY AND BACKGROUND OF THE PROBLEM

A study of the probability that two randomly picked elements x and y of a compact group G commute was initiated by W. H. Gustafson in [8]. Thereby he extended to the infinite case an idea which was put forward a few years earlier by P. Erdős and P. Turán in the context of finite groups (s. [8] again and [4]). Perhaps Erdős and Turán attempted to reformulate in a statistical way a famous problem, posed by Paul Erdős himself and solved partially by Bernhard Neumann in [16]:

For any class of groups $\mathcal{X}$, let $\mathcal{X}^*$ denote the class of all groups G such that every infinite subset of G contains a pair of distinct elements which generate an $\mathcal{X}$ -subgroup of G . Is it true $\mathcal{X}^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}$?

In [16], Bernhard Neumann answered this problem in the negative by showing that for the class $\mathcal{A}$ of all abelian groups, the class $\mathcal{A}^*$ turns out to be the class of finite central extensions of abelian groups. His methods dealt with combinatorial techniques, coverings of suitable sets and remarks on the size of centralizers. Exactly the same methods can be found in [4, 8]. The approach of P. X. Gallagher for computing the probability that two randomly picked elements of a finite group commute is completely different in so far as he used character theory (s. [14]) to express this probability in terms of the number of conjugates of a given element. More details can be found in [3] and [14]. In particular, Diaconis' survey [3] illustrates the fact that considerable information on the structure of groups may be obtained along this route, and it helped to motivate the growing interest in these issues that has emerged in the literature in recent years. Regarding infinite groups, compact topological groups were the natural ones to which the results on finite groups could be extended as soon as appropriate methods suitable for the topological context were found. We have seen that the concepts of measure theory serve as a substitute for an approach through character theory. However no visible contributions so far deal with this point, even though the literature on the representation theory of compact groups is considerable.

In [10], one approaches the subject of this paper from the other end, following up on a classical theme. In [10], Proposition 6.86 and Exercise E6,18 it is shown that in a nonabelian connected compact Lie group G the set of all pairs $(x, y) \in G \times G$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is free nonabelian and dense in G is (i) dense in $G \times G$, (ii) has a meager complement, and (iii) has Haar measure 1 in $G \times G$. Thus one has no chance that the commutativity degree of such groups is positive, as is amply

confirmed through the principal results of this article.

In [15], Levai and Pyber discuss profinite, that is totally disconnected profinite groups and, for this special case prove results similar to the ones presented in the present paper.

The papers [5, 6, 7] illustrate that it is possible to extend W. H. Gustafson's initial idea to more sophisticated notions of probability on compact groups. In principle, for any finite sequence of words $w_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$ in n variables and for any compact group G the probability of the set

$$\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in G^n : w_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \dots = w_N(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1\}$$

in the group G^n becomes a reasonable object of study. For instance, in [5] and [7] the authors consider the words $w_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = [x_i, x_j]$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and the measure of the set

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G^n : [x_i, x_j] = 1, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.$$

Some simple observations concerning the relation $w = 1$ on compact Lie groups are made in [10], Lemma 6.83.

Acknowledgements. We express our appreciation to Karl-Hermann Neeb; during a seminar lecture by the second author at TU Darmstadt in January 2010, Neeb posed the question whether $P(\{(x, y) \in G \times G : [x, y] = 1\}) > 0$ on a compact group implies the existence of an open normal abelian subgroup. This question motivated and inspired many of our arguments which finally led us to an affirmative answer. We thank Georg W. Hofmann of Dalhousie University in Halifax for motivating that portion of Theorem 4.4 resulting in a proof that the commutativity degree $d(G)$ of a compact group is always rational. We are grateful to an anonymous referee of an earlier version of this paper who detected several flaws in our original presentation which could be eliminated from the main body of our results. The paper [15] by L. Levai and L. Pyber came to our attention after we completed our manuscript through a lecture by Aleksander Ivanov at the conference on Automorphism groups of Topological Structure in Eilat in June 2010. While they treat profinite groups only, in the area of positive probability for commuting pairs there is a good deal of overlap between their results and ours.

Literature

- [1] Bourbaki, N., *Intégration*, Chap. 7 et 8, Hermann, Paris, 1963.
- [2] Bredon, G., *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

- [3] Diaconis, P., Random walks on groups: characters and geometry, in: *Groups St. Andrews 2001 in Oxford*, Vol. I, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **304**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003, pp. 120–142.
- [4] Erdős, P., and P. Túrán, On some problems of statistical group theory, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* **19** (1968), 413–435.
- [5] Erfanian, A., and R. Kamyabi-Gol, On the mutually commuting n -tuples in compact groups, *Int. J. Algebra* **1** (2007), 251–262.
- [6] Erfanian, A., and R. Rezaei, On the commutativity degree of compact groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **93** (2009), 201–212.
- [7] Erfanian, A., and F. Russo, Probability of mutually commuting n -tuples in some classes of compact groups, *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* **34** (2008), 27–37.
- [8] Gustafson, W. H., What is the probability that two group elements commute? *Amer. Math. Monthly* **80** (1973), 1031–1304.
- [9] Hewitt, E., and K. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol.I, Springer, Berlin, 1963.
- [10] Hofmann, K. H., and S. A. Morris, *The Structure of Compact Groups*, de Gruyter, Berlin, Second Edition 2006.
- [11] —, The Lie Theory of connected Pro-Lie Groups, Eur. Math. Soc. Publ. House, 2007.
- [12] Hofmann, K. H., and P. S. Mostert, Splitting in Topological Groups, *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **43** (1963), 75 pp. Third Printing 1993.
- [13] —, Elements of Compact Semigroups, Charles E. Merrill, Columbus, Ohio, 1966, xii+384 pp.
- [14] Lescot, P., Isoclinism classes and commutativity degrees of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **177** (1985), 847–869.
- [15] Lévai, L., and L. Pyber, Profinite groups with many commuting pairs or involutions, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **75** (2000), 1–7.
- [16] Neumann, B. H., On a problem of P. Erdős, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **21** (1976), 467–472.
- [17] Shalev, A., *Profinite groups with restricted centralizers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), 1279–1284.
- [18] tom Dieck, T., *Transformation Groups*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1987.

Authors' addresses:

Karl H. Hofmann,
Fachbereich Mathematik
Technische Universität
Schlossgartenstr. 7
64289 Darmstadt, Germany
hofmann@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Francesco G. Russo
Department of Mathematics
University of Palermo
via Archirafi 14
90123 Palermo, Italy
francescog.russo@yahoo.com